

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Angie Langley;	)	MUR 6238
MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM	)	
and Angie Langley, in her official	)	
capacity as treasurer	)	

CERTIFICATION

I, Darlene Harris, Acting Secretary of the Federal Election Commission,  
do hereby certify that on May 06, 2010, the Commission decided by a vote of 6-0  
to take the following actions in MUR 6238:

1. Find no reason to believe that Angie Langley violated the Act.
2. Find no reason to believe that MyCongressmanIsNuts.com and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated the Act.
3. Approve the Factual and Legal Analysis, as recommended in the First General Counsel's Report dated April 20, 2010.
4. Approve the appropriate letter.
5. Close the file.

Commissioners Bauerly, Hunter, McGahn II, Petersen, Walther, and  
Weintraub voted affirmatively for the decision.

Attest:

May 6, 2010  
Date

*Lisa Chapman*  
for Darlene Harris  
Acting Secretary of the Commission

10044271960



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

**CERTIFIED MAIL**  
**RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

MAY 17 2010

Congressman Alan Grayson  
Orlando, FL 32819

RE: MUR 6238  
Angie Langley; MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM  
and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as treasurer

Dear Congressman Grayson:

On May 6, 2010, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated December 9, 2009, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Angie Langley or MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act"). Accordingly, on May 6, 2010, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed.

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact Audra Hale-Maddox, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter G. Blumberg".

Peter G. Blumberg  
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure  
Factual and Legal Analysis

10044271962

10044271963

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

**FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

**RESPONDENTS:** MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM **MUR 6238**  
and Angie Langley, in her official capacity  
as treasurer; Angic Langley

**I. GENERATION OF MATTER**

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by Representative Alan Grayson. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

**II. FACTUAL SUMMARY**

The complaint, filed by Florida 8<sup>th</sup> District Rep. Alan Grayson, alleges fraudulent misrepresentations in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441h of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act") on the part of Angie Langley ("Langley"), the founder of the website MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM, and the My Congressman Is Nuts.com ("MCIN") political committee, of which Langley is the treasurer. The complaint alleges that Langley has fraudulently misrepresented: (1) herself as a constituent of Rep. Grayson's, and (2) the MCIN website as a website representing constituents of Grayson's who do not support him. Complaint at 2-3. Rep. Grayson alleges that because Langley actually lives in Florida's 5<sup>th</sup> District, rather than his own 8<sup>th</sup> District, Langley's media appearances promoting the MCIN website, and seeking contributions for the committee, while representing herself as Grayson's constituent, are fraudulent. Complaint Exhibits 3 and 4. The complaint also implies that MCIN's fundraising efforts are fraudulent because MCIN does not represent Grayson's constituents. Complaint at 2.

1 Complainant further alleges that MCIN's Statement of Organization filed with the  
2 FEC, "falsely" discloses: (1) that MCIN is opposing more than one candidate and, (2)  
3 that it is not connected with any other organization. In support, Grayson points to  
4 MCIN's website, which contains information opposing Rep. Grayson solely, without  
5 mentioning any other candidates or elected officials. Complaint at 2-3. Rep. Grayson  
6 also questions MCIN's self-reported non-connected status on its Statement of  
7 Organization, because Langley has previously served as a "former Republican Party  
8 official" (a Florida County Republican party chair). Complaint at 3. Rep. Grayson  
9 believes that "the avowed purpose of the committee is to raise money for the Republican  
10 nominee in next year's FL-8 election. Thus the committee is simply a device to skirt  
11 around contribution limits." Complaint at 3.

12 The response, jointly filed by Langley and MCIN, states that the "complaint fails  
13 to establish any relevant legal basis for action by the Commission and, on its face,  
14 represents a demand for unconstitutional prior restraint of Mrs. Langley's, as well as  
15 MCIN's right to free political speech." Response at 2. The response asserts that  
16 Langley's actions do not fit within those actions prohibited by 2 U.S.C. § 441h.  
17 Response at 2. Further, respondents state that MCIN represents constitutionally protected  
18 viewpoints regardless of the residency of its treasurer, and that MCIN is, as stated in its  
19 Statement of Organization, a non-connected committee. Response at 3-4. Respondents  
20 also assert that MCIN "relies solely upon regulated contributions from its supporters and  
21 is not affiliated with and does not coordinate with any other candidate, committee,  
22 political party or unregulated entity in any fashion." Response at 1.

1 Angie Langley filed a Statement of Organization for MCIN on October 29, 2009.  
2 Langley is the treasurer of MCIN, and the Committee identified itself as a non-connected  
3 committee that supports or opposes more than one Federal candidate. See Statement of  
4 Organization. Thus far, it appears that the main activity of MCIN is operating a website,  
5 www.mycongressmanisnuts.com, through which MCIN raises funds via a "Get rid of  
6 Alan Grayson DONATE" button. The website also offers free bumper stickers to the  
7 public, maintains a Facebook page, and has an e-mail list for which visitors can sign up.  
8 See www.mycongressmanisnuts.com, (last visited April 20, 2010). As part of the launch  
9 of the MCIN website, Langley spoke with the media about the website, reportedly telling  
10 local television station Fox 35 that the Committee is a response to the " 'liberal positions'  
11 and 'childish' approach to policy-making by Congressman Grayson." *Fox 35 Talks to*  
12 *Creator of Grayson Lampoon Website*, unattributed article on www.myfoxorlando.com,  
13 October 30, 2009, (Complaint Exhibit 3).

14 Langley also was interviewed by the Washington Times for an October 31, 2009  
15 article, which stated "Ms. Langley, a business development consultant in the Lake  
16 County portion of Mr. Grayson's district, said the PAC is not affiliated with a political  
17 party and is not backing any candidates. She is not new to politics, however, having  
18 previously served as chairman of the Lake County Republican Party." S.A. Miller,  
19 *Grayson's Remarks Drive Voters 'Nuts'*, THE WASHINGTON TIMES, October 31, 2009  
20 (Complaint Exhibit 4).

21 MCIN timely filed its initial 2009 Year-End Report with the Commission on  
22 January 29, 2010, reporting \$19,849.32 in total receipts during 2009. See MCIN's 2009  
23 Year-End Report, dated January 29, 2010. As of this writing, the MCIN website is still

1 operational, and now includes a video segment entitled "Grayson's Problem with the  
2 First Amendment," which includes clips of news footage regarding Grayson and  
3 mentions the filing of this MUR, but provides no substantive discussion of the  
4 allegations. See [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com), (last visited April 20, 2010).

5 **III. ANALYSIS**

6 Complainant makes two primary allegations: that Langley is not his constituent  
7 and MCIN does not represent his constituents and therefore, she raises funds under false  
8 pretenses; and that MCIN's Statement of Organization filed with the FEC, "falsely"  
9 discloses that MCIN is opposing more than one candidate and that it is not connected  
10 with any other organization. None of these allegations would constitute violations of the  
11 FECA.

12 The Act prohibits the fraudulent misrepresentation of campaign authority,  
13 including those made in connection with the solicitation of funds. Specifically, the Act  
14 provides:

15 **§ 441h. Fraudulent misrepresentation of campaign authority**

16 (a) *In general.* No person who is a candidate for Federal office or an  
17 employee or agent of such a candidate shall—

18 (1) fraudulently misrepresent himself or any committee or organization  
19 under his control as speaking or writing or otherwise acting for or on  
20 behalf of any other candidate or political party or employee or agent  
21 thereof on a matter which is damaging to such other candidate or political  
22 party or employee or agent thereof; or

23 (2) willfully and knowingly participate in or conspire to participate in any  
24 plan, scheme, or design to violate paragraph (1).

25 (b) *Fraudulent solicitation of funds.* No person shall—

26 (1) fraudulently misrepresent the person as speaking, writing, or otherwise  
27 acting for or on behalf of any candidate or political party or employee or  
28 agent thereof for the purpose of soliciting contributions or donations; or

29 (2) willfully and knowingly participate in or conspire to participate in any  
30 plan, scheme, or design to violate paragraph (1).  
31

10044271967

1  
2           Langley's activities with MCIN do not fit within the activities prohibited by Sec.  
3 441h(a) or (h). The complaint does not allege, and there appears to be no available  
4 information to indicate, that Langley is a Federal candidate, or the agent or employee of a  
5 Federal candidate, who has misrepresented herself or the MCIN committee as being or  
6 acting on behalf of Grayson in order to damage Grayson, his party, or any employee or  
7 agent of Grayson's. See 2 U.S.C. § 441h(a). Neither does the complaint allege, nor does  
8 the available information indicate, that Langley or MCIN have misrepresented  
9 themselves as representing Grayson or his party, or any candidate or political party, for  
10 the purpose of fraudulently soliciting contributions, as is required for violation of  
11 2 U.S.C. § 441h(b). See generally MUR 4735 (Bordonaro for Congress) ("Specifically,  
12 section 441h does not prohibit all fraudulent activity that injures a candidate, but only  
13 'fraudulently misrepresent[ing] oneself as speaking on behalf of any other candidate or  
14 political party.'" (MUR 4735 GCR #3 at p. 4). Also, the available information indicates  
15 that Langley and the MCIN website have made clear to donors and potential donors the  
16 purpose of the committee and the purpose for which donations to the committee are being  
17 solicited. See [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com) (last visited April 20, 2010) and  
18 Complaint Exhibits 3 and 4.

19           While the complaint specifically challenges MCIN's registration with the  
20 Commission as a non-connected committee, the complaint's references to "skirt[ing]  
21 around contribution limits" and Langley's ties to a Republican party organization seem to  
22 question whether MCIN is *affiliated* with another political committee with which it  
23 would share contribution limits, rather than *connected* to a non-committee which might

1 control it but would have no implications for shared contribution limits.<sup>1</sup> MCIN does not  
2 appear to be affiliated with any other organization, as defined by the Act.

3 Committees are considered to be "affiliated" when they are established,  
4 financed, maintained or controlled by the same person or group of persons. 11 C.F.R.  
5 §§ 100.5(g) and 110.3(a).<sup>2</sup> Contributions made to or by such committees shall be  
6 considered to have been made to or by a single committee. 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g), *see*  
7 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1). While complainant suggests that Langley's previous position as  
8 the chair of the Lake County Republican Party indicates her affiliation with those  
9 opposing his re-election, (as does generally the existence of MCIN at all), the complaint  
10 and the available information do not suggest indications of affiliation of MCIN with any  
11 particular political committee or any specific opponent of Rep. Grayson's.

12 Lastly, while MCIN did indicate on its Statement of Organization that it intended  
13 to be a committee that supports or opposes more than one candidate, and its website and  
14 Langley's public statements to the media thus far do not indicate that MCIN has begun  
15 targeting candidates or officeholders other than Rep. Grayson, that representation in  
16 MCIN's Statement of Organization does not appear to violate any provision of the Act.

---

<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, MCIN does not appear to be connected to any other entity, pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 100.6(a), which defines a connected organization as "any organization which is not a political committee but which directly or indirectly establishes, administers, or financially supports a political committee." None of the available information indicates that any other entity established, administers, or funds the operations of MCIN, and MCIN's initial year-end report, filed with the Commission on January 29, 2010, does not appear to reveal financial or other support from any entity to which MCIN could be construed to be "connected." See MCIN's 2009 Year-End Report, dated January 29, 2010.

<sup>2</sup> In ascertaining whether committees are affiliated, the Commission considers a number of circumstantial factors, such as overlapping officers or members, shared governance, and whether one committee or its agent formed the other committee, in the context of the overall relationship of the committees, to determine if the presence of any factor or factors is evidence of affiliation. See 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g)(4)(ii). The definition of affiliated committees, along with the enumerated list of circumstantial factors used by the Commission to determine whether committees are affiliated are codified at 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g). The definition and circumstantial factors are reproduced under another section of the regulations dealing with contribution limitations for affiliated committees at 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a).

10044271968

1 MCIN's response leaves open the option for MCIN to target federal candidates other than  
2 Rep. Grayson at some later time, which is compatible with MCIN's representations in its  
3 Statement of Organization. See Response at 4. Even if this representation on MCIN's  
4 Statement of Organization were false, it does not appear to be a violation of  
5 2 U.S.C. § 441h as alleged in the complaint. See generally MUR 4735 (Bordonaro for  
6 Congress).

7 Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Angie Langley or  
8 the MyCongressmanIsNuts.com committee and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as  
9 treasurer, are in violation of the Act in connection with the activities discussed in the  
10 Complaint.

10044271969



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MAY 17 2010

Andrew L. Asher, Esq.  
Andrew L. Asher P.L.  
4767 New Broad Street  
Orlando, FL 32814

RE: MUR 6238  
Angie Langley; MYCONGRESSMANISNUTS.COM  
and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Asher:

On December 14, 2009, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). On May 6, 2010, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe that Angie Langley or MyCongressmanIsNuts.com and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated the Act. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Audra Hale-Maddox, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter G. Blumberg".

Peter G. Blumberg  
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure  
Factual and Legal Analysis

10044271971



1 Complainant further alleges that MCIN's Statement of Organization filed with the  
2 FEC, "falsely" discloses: (1) that MCIN is opposing more than one candidate and, (2)  
3 that it is not connected with any other organization. In support, Grayson points to  
4 MCIN's website, which contains information opposing Rep. Grayson solely, without  
5 mentioning any other candidates or elected officials. Complaint at 2-3. Rep. Grayson  
6 also questions MCIN's self-reported non-connected status on its Statement of  
7 Organization, because Langley has previously served as a "former Republican Party  
8 official" (a Florida County Republican party chair). Complaint at 3. Rep. Grayson  
9 believes that "the avowed purpose of the committee is to raise money for the Republican  
10 nominee in next year's FL-8 election. Thus the committee is simply a device to skirt  
11 around contribution limits." Complaint at 3.

12 The response, jointly filed by Langley and MCIN, states that the "complaint fails  
13 to establish any relevant legal basis for action by the Commission and, on its face,  
14 represents a demand for unconstitutional prior restraint of Mrs. Langley's, as well as  
15 MCIN's right to free political speech." Response at 2. The response asserts that  
16 Langley's actions do not fit within those actions prohibited by 2 U.S.C. § 441h.  
17 Response at 2. Further, respondents state that MCIN represents constitutionally protected  
18 viewpoints regardless of the residency of its treasurer, and that MCIN is, as stated in its  
19 Statement of Organization, a non-connected committee. Response at 3-4. Respondents  
20 also assert that MCIN "relies solely upon regulated contributions from its supporters and  
21 is not affiliated with and does not coordinate with any other candidate, committee,  
22 political party or unregulated entity in any fashion." Response at 1.

10044271973

1           Angie Langley filed a Statement of Organization for MCIN on October 29, 2009.  
2           Langley is the treasurer of MCIN, and the Committee identified itself as a non-connected  
3           committee that supports or opposes more than one Federal candidate. *See* Statement of  
4           Organization. Thus far, it appears that the main activity of MCIN is operating a website,  
5           [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com), through which MCIN raises funds via a “Get rid of  
6           Alan Grayson DONATE” button. The website also offers free bumper stickers to the  
7           public, maintains a Facebook page, and has an e-mail list for which visitors can sign up.  
8           *See* [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com), (last visited April 20, 2010). As part of the launch  
9           of the MCIN website, Langley spoke with the media about the website, reportedly telling  
10          local television station Fox 35 that the Committee is a response to the “ ‘liberal positions’  
11          and ‘childish’ approach to policy-making by Congressman Grayson.” *Fox 35 Talks to*  
12          *Creator of Grayson Lampoon Website*, unattributed article on [www.myfoxorlando.com](http://www.myfoxorlando.com),  
13          October 30, 2009, (Complaint Exhibit 3).

14          Langley also was interviewed by the Washington Times for an October 31, 2009  
15          article, which stated “Ms. Langley, a business development consultant in the Lake  
16          County portion of Mr. Grayson’s district, said the PAC is not affiliated with a political  
17          party and is not backing any candidates. She is not new to politics, however, having  
18          previously served as chairman of the Lake County Republican Party.” S.A. Miller,  
19          *Grayson’s Remarks Drive Voters ‘Nuts’*, THE WASHINGTON TIMES, October 31, 2009  
20          (Complaint Exhibit 4).

21          MCIN timely filed its initial 2009 Year-End Report with the Commission on  
22          January 29, 2010, reporting \$19,849.32 in total receipts during 2009. *See* MCIN’s 2009  
23          Year-End Report, dated January 29, 2010. As of this writing, the MCIN website is still

1 oprational, and now includes a video segment entitled "Grayson's Problem with the  
2 First Amendment," which includes clips of news footage regarding Grayson and  
3 mentions the filing of this MUR, but provides no substantive discussion of the  
4 allegations. See [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com), (last visited April 20, 2010).

5 **III. ANALYSIS**

6 Complainant makes two primary allegations: that Langley is not his constituent  
7 and MCIN does not represent his constituents and therefore, she raises funds under false  
8 pretenses; and that MCIN's Statement of Organization filed with the FEC, "falsely"  
9 discloses that MCIN is opposing more than one candidate and that it is not connected  
10 with any other organization. None of these allegations would constitute violations of the  
11 FECA.

12 The Act prohibits the fraudulent misrepresentation of campaign authority,  
13 including those made in connection with the solicitation of funds. Specifically, the Act  
14 provides:

15 **§ 441h. Fraudulent misrepresentation of campaign authority**

16 (a) *In general.* No person who is a candidate for Federal office or an  
17 employee or agent of such a candidate shall—

18 (1) fraudulently misrepresent himself or any committee or organization  
19 under his control as speaking or writing or otherwise acting for or on  
20 behalf of any other candidate or political party or employee or agent  
21 thereof on a matter which is damaging to such other candidate or political  
22 party or employee or agent thereof; or

23 (2) willfully and knowingly participate in or conspire to participate in any  
24 plan, scheme, or design to violate paragraph (1).

25 (b) *Fraudulent solicitation of funds.* No person shall—

26 (1) fraudulently misrepresent the person as speaking, writing, or otherwise  
27 acting for or on behalf of any candidate or political party or employee or  
28 agent thereof for the purpose of soliciting contributions or donations; or

29 (2) willfully and knowingly participate in or conspire to participate in any  
30 plan, scheme, or design to violate paragraph (1).  
31

10044271975

10044271976

1  
2           Langley's activities with MCIN do not fit within the activities prohibited by Sec.  
3 441h(a) or (b). The complaint does not allege, and there appears to be no available  
4 information to indicate, that Langley is a Federal candidate, or the agent or employee of a  
5 Federal candidate, who has misrepresented herself or the MCIN committee as being or  
6 acting on behalf of Grayson in order to damage Grayson, his party, or any employee or  
7 agent of Grayson's. See 2 U.S.C. § 441h(a). Neither does the complaint allege, nor does  
8 the available information indicate, that Langley or MCIN have misrepresented  
9 themselves as representing Grayson or his party, or any candidate or political party, for  
10 the purpose of fraudulently soliciting contributions, as is required for violation of  
11 2 U.S.C. § 441h(b). See generally MUR 4735 (Bordonaro for Congress) ("Specifically,  
12 section 441h does not prohibit all fraudulent activity that injures a candidate, but only  
13 'fraudulently misrepresent[ing] oneself as speaking on behalf of any other candidate or  
14 political party.'" (MUR 4735 GCR #3 at p. 4). Also, the available information indicates  
15 that Langley and the MCIN website have made clear to donors and potential donors the  
16 purpose of the committee and the purpose for which donations to the committee are being  
17 solicited. See [www.mycongressmanisnuts.com](http://www.mycongressmanisnuts.com) (last visited April 20, 2010) and  
18 Complaint Exhibits 3 and 4.

19           While the complaint specifically challenges MCIN's registration with the  
20 Commission as a non-connected committee, the complaint's references to "skirt[ing]  
21 around contribution limits" and Langley's ties to a Republican party organization seem to  
22 question whether MCIN is *affiliated* with another political committee with which it  
23 would share contribution limits, rather than *connected* to a non-committee which might

1 control it but would have no implications for shared contribution limits.<sup>1</sup> MCIN does not  
2 appear to be affiliated with any other organization, as defined by the Act.

3 Committees are considered to be "affiliated" when they are established,  
4 financed, maintained or controlled by the same person or group of persons. 11 C.F.R.  
5 §§ 100.5(g) and 110.3(a).<sup>2</sup> Contributions made to or by such committees shall be  
6 considered to have been made to or by a single committee. 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g), *see*  
7 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1). While complainant suggests that Langley's previous position as  
8 the chair of the Lake County Republican Party indicates her affiliation with those  
9 opposing his re-election, (as does generally the existence of MCIN at all), the complaint  
10 and the available information do not suggest indications of affiliation of MCIN with any  
11 particular political committee or any specific opponent of Rep. Grayson's.

12 Lastly, while MCIN did indicate on its Statement of Organization that it intended  
13 to be a committee that supports or opposes more than one candidate, and its website and  
14 Langley's public statements to the media thus far do not indicate that MCIN has begun  
15 targeting candidates or officeholders other than Rep. Grayson, that representation in  
16 MCIN's Statement of Organization does not appear to violate any provision of the Act.

---

<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, MCIN does not appear to be connected to any other entity, pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 100.6(a), which defines a connected organization as "any organization which is not a political committee but which directly or indirectly establishes, administers, or financially supports a political committee." None of the available information indicates that any other entity established, administers, or funds the operations of MCIN, and MCIN's initial year-end report, filed with the Commission on January 29, 2010, does not appear to reveal financial or other support from any entity to which MCIN could be construed to be "connected." *See* MCIN's 2009 Year-End Report, dated January 29, 2010.

<sup>2</sup> In ascertaining whether committees are affiliated, the Commission considers a number of circumstantial factors, such as overlapping officers or members, shared governance, and whether one committee or its agent formed the other committee, in the context of the overall relationship of the committees, to determine if the presence of any factor or factors is evidence of affiliation. *See* 11 C.F.R. §100.5(g)(4)(ii). The definition of affiliated committees, along with the enumerated list of circumstantial factors used by the Commission to determine whether committees are affiliated are codified at 11 C.F.R. §100.5(g). The definition and circumstantial factors are reproduced under another section of the regulations dealing with contribution limitations for affiliated committees at 11 C.F.R. §110.3(a).

1 MCIN's response leaves open the option for MCIN to target federal candidates other than  
2 Rep. Grayson at some later time, which is compatible with MCIN's representations in its  
3 Statement of Organization. *See* Response at 4. Even if this representation on MCIN's  
4 Statement of Organization were false, it does not appear to be a violation of  
5 2 U.S.C. § 441h as alleged in the complaint. *See generally* MUR 4735 (Bordonaro for  
6 Congress).

7 Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Angie Langley or  
8 the MyCongressmanIsNuts.com committee and Angie Langley, in her official capacity as  
9 treasurer, are in violation of the Act in connection with the activities discussed in the  
10 Complaint.